World Sailing Racing Rules Question and Answer Service

J 006 <u>Q&A 2018.011</u> 4 Sontomber 2018

4 September 2018

Situation:

As result of a hearing, a protest committee issues a warning to a support person. After the warning, the support person again breaks a rule against the will of the supported competitor.

Question 1

May the protest committee penalize the competitor without a hearing?

Answer 1

No.

Question 2

If the answer is no, under which rule shall the protest committee protest the competitor?

Answer 2

A *protest* is an allegation that a boat has broken a *rule*. If a boat (the competitor) did not break a *rule* herself, there is no grounds for the protest committee to protest her.

In a hearing called by the protest committee under rule 60.3(d) to consider whether a support person has broken a rule, the supported boat is a *party*. A hearing under rule 60.3(d) shall be conducted in accordance with rule 63.9. Therefore, the boat's representative has the right to be present in accordance with rule 63.3(a). For a hearing under rule 60.3(d), rule 64.4(b) sets the conditions under which the supported boat may be penalized.

<u>Note</u>

The following changes to the Racing Rules of Sailing were approved in November 2017 at the World Sailing Annual Conference and come into effect on 1 January 2018.

In Definitions, change *Party* (e) to:

(e) a *support person* subject to a hearing under rule 60.3(d) or 69; any boat that person supports;a person appointed to present an allegation under rule 60.3(d).

Change rule 63.1 to:

63.1 Requirement for a Hearing

A boat or competitor shall not be penalized without a protest hearing, except as provided in rules 30.2, 30.3, 30.4, 64.3(d), 64.4(b), 69, 78.2, A5 and P2. A decision on redress shall not be made without a hearing. The protest committee shall hear all *protests* and requests for redress that have been delivered to the race office unless it allows a *protest* or request to be withdrawn.

Add new rule 63.9:

63.9 Hearings under Rule 60.3(d) – Support Persons

If the protest committee decides to call a hearing under rule 60.3(d), it shall promptly follow the procedures in rules 63.2, 63.3, 63.4 and 63.6, except that the information given to the *parties* shall be details of the alleged breach and a person may be appointed by the protest committee to present the allegation.

Change rule 64.4 to:

64.4 Decisions Concerning Support Persons

- (a) When the protest committee decides that a *support person* who is a *party* to a hearing under rule 60.3(d) or 69 has broken a *rule*, it may
 - (1) issue a warning,
 - (2) exclude the person from the event or venue or remove any privileges or benefits, or
 - (3) take other action within its jurisdiction as provided by the *rules*.
- (b) The protest committee may also penalize a boat that is a *party* to a hearing under rule 60.3(d) or 69 for the breach of a *rule* by a *support person* by changing the boat's score in a single race, up to and including DSQ, when the protest committee decides that
 - (1) the boat may have gained a competitive advantage as the result of the breach by the *support person*, or
 - (2) the *support person* committed a further breach after the protest committee warned the boat in writing, following a previous hearing, that a penalty may be imposed.